



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/737,633	11/15/1996	FABRIZIO SAMARITANI	P/42-60	5401
7590 05/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Edward A Meilman			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
Dickstein Shaprio Morin & Oshinsky LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41st Floor			1647	
New York, NY 10036-2714			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	08/737,633	SAMARITANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Robert Landsman	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	bruary 2004.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-13 and 15</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		* *			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		I in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not received				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:				
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 08/737,633

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/24/04 has been entered.

1. Formal Matters

- A. The Amendment dated 2/4/04, has been entered into the record.
- B. Claims 1-15 are pending in this Office Action. Claims 2 and 5 have been canceled. Therefore, claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-15 are the subject of this Office Action.

2. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

A. All rejections under 35 USC 103 have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments and the the Declaration provided by Mark Manning. Applicants' major persuasive arguments are as follows: (1) Hanisch indicate that a low pH solution does not require a stabilizer. Though a stabilizer may not be required, there is no evidence that the use of a stabilizer would be problematic. However, in view of the remaining arguments, this issue has not been further raised by the Examiner. In addition to the fact that Hanisch do not teach a stabilized liquid formulation, the other major points raised by Applicants are (2) that Hanisch teach that for low pH formulations of IFN, PPF is preferred to albumin. (3) given the large changes in the pH during the processing described by Hanisch, the choice of a single buffer would be problematic. (4) Hershenson, the secondary reference, does not teach the use of acetate buffers or mannitol, but do teach that glycerol or PEG are used in every buffer composition described, unlike the present invention. Similarly, Cymbalista, who teach the use of acetate buffers, do not teach that PVP can be eliminated from theses buffered solutions. These reasons, coupled with the unexpected results of half-life of the claimed composition, led the Examiner to withdrawn the rejection under 35 USC 103.

Art Unit: 1647

3. Claim Objections

A. Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 14 is a substantial duplicate (in fact, identical) to claim 10.

The Examiner apologizes for mailing an Office Action simply for a claim objection, but, due to the complex prosecution history and time constraints, an Office Action needed to be mailed.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571) 272-0887.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0888.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0700.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 May 14, 2004

PATENT EXAMINER